

Research Articles

Multiparity as a risk factor for congenital toxoplasmosis: a cross-sectional study

Priscilla Vilela dos Santos¹ , Débora Nonato Miranda de Toledo¹ , Bianca Alves Almeida Machado¹, Zolder Marinho Silva¹, Valter Ferreira de Andrade-Neto² , Nathalia Sernizon Guimarães¹ , André Talvani¹ 

¹ Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto, Ouro Preto, Brazil, ² Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Natal, Brazil

Keywords: *T. gondii*, congenital toxoplasmosis, risk factors

<https://doi.org/10.29392/001c.29891>

Journal of Global Health Reports

Vol. 5, 2021

Background

Congenital toxoplasmosis (CT) is caused by placental transfer of *Toxoplasma gondii* to the fetus, which can generate neurological, neurocognitive deficits, or death. Appropriate preventive strategies are required for infection-related risk factors. This study assessed the prevalence of *T. gondii* infection and the factors associated with CT in pregnant women with assistance from the Public Health Service at Ouro Preto, Brazil.

Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted between April and December 2020. Pregnant women (n=131) aged between 13 and 46 years, were recruited and evaluated for specific IgM/IgG antibody levels against *T. gondii*. A structured questionnaire was applied to determine the socioeconomic, environmental, gestational, clinical, and dietary patterns.

Results

The prevalence of *T. gondii* was 45.8% (n = 60) in which multiparas revealed to be more exposed to infection and were 2.6 times more likely to become infected with the parasite compared to primiparas, (odds ratio, OR=2.60; 95% confidence interval, CI=1.25-5.39). A high prevalence of *T. gondii* seropositivity was found to be related to the absence of basic sanitation at home. In conclusion, multiparas constitute risk factor for CT.

Conclusions

Educational and preventive measures should be intensified in uninfected multiparas to raise awareness about the potential risks of contact with *T. gondii*.

Congenital toxoplasmosis (CT) is an infectious disease caused by placental transfer of *Toxoplasma gondii* protozoa to the fetus and can result in neurological and neurocognitive deficits or death.^{1,2} Most cases are asymptomatic in immunocompetent individuals, even though some patients may experience self-limiting symptoms such as febrile conditions, lymphadenopathy, hepatosplenomegaly, and eventual skin rash.^{3,4} The pathogenesis of toxoplasmosis varies by strain and host susceptibility based on an individual's genetic traits,⁵ causing significant damage and even death in immunocompetent adults.⁶ In pregnant women, placental transference of the protozoan is related to severe toxoplasmosis in neonates, which may be related to the genetic characteristics of *T. gondii* isolates prevalent in animals and humans.⁷

T. gondii infection is predominantly widespread in tropical climates, especially at low altitudes, as the oocysts survive better in these environments.^{8,9} It is estimated that approximately 51.4% of pregnant women worldwide are infected with the parasite.¹⁰ In Brazil, *T. gondii* infection ranges from 50–80% in women of reproductive age.¹¹

Maternal serological screening during prenatal care enables the identification of infected and vulnerable pregnant women for follow-up as a means to reduce the risk of fetal infection.¹² The severity of congenital toxoplasmosis is inversely related to the gestational period at the time of infection. At the beginning of pregnancy, transmission is low, but the pathology can be severe. At the end of pregnancy, high transmission occurs, but with a lower risk of miscarriage.¹³ Treatment during pregnancy is related to a lower risk of infection and decreased severity in babies.^{14,15} Maternal health issues in Brazil have been discussed in 1980, with the implementation of different maternal health policies and programs,¹⁶ in addition to greater access to prenatal care in health units. In Italy, Slovenia, France, and Austria, the prenatal program is mandatory, whereas in the United States of America, lack of screening has contributed to greater disease severity, which is only observed at birth.^{17–20}

Epidemiological research in urban and rural environments shows geographical territory together with the perception of the disease spread. Thus, detection of risk factors

Table 1. Recommended weight gain according to pre-pregnancy maternal body mass index (BMI)

Nutritional status before pregnancy	BMI (kg/m ²)	Weight gain during pregnancy (Kg)	Weight gain per week in the 2nd and 3rd trimester (Kg)
Underweight	<18.5	12.5 – 18	0.5
Eutrophic	18.5-24.9	11 – 16	0.4
Overweight	25.0-29.9	7 – 11.5	0.3
Obesity	≥30.0	5 - 9	0.2

Source: Institute of Medicine (IOM-2009)

associated with *T. gondii* infection can lead to improvements in preventive health strategies.^{21,22} In this context, the present study aimed to assess the prevalence of *T. gondii* infection and the factors associated with congenital toxoplasmosis in pregnant women with assistance from the Public Health Service at Ouro Preto, Brazil.

METHODS

A cross-sectional study was conducted with pregnant women between April and December 2020, with assistance from the Public Health Service at Ouro Preto, Brazil.

After signing the assent form (for children's parents or responsible girls < 18 years old) or consent form (for women ≥ 18 years old), the non-probabilistic sample, by convenience, included 131 pregnant women, regardless of age. After being recruited, specific IgM and IgG antibody levels against *T. gondii* were determined. The women also responded to a structured questionnaire that was used to obtain information regarding the socioeconomic (age, education, occupation, income, and place of residence), environmental (access to water, sewage network, urban waste collection, contact with domestic animals, animal disposals at home, gardening, use of personal protective equipment (PPE) in gardening, handwashing after using the bathroom), gestational (gestational age, number of pregnancies, neuroocular complications of the firstborn, abortion, eclampsia, body mass index (BMI) pre-gestational and gestational weight gain), clinical (stool parasitological examination, type of protozoan in stool, blood pressure, kidney disease, urine culture, and types of bacteria), and food (consumption of unpasteurized milk, raw vegetables and meat, fruit/vegetable washing process, cross contamination in meal preparation, handwashing before food preparation) conditions. Their weight (kg) and height (cm) were recorded using a stadiometer, which was attached to the Welmy® electronic scale.

The income variable was classified based on the Brazilian minimum wage in *REAIS* (R\$), R\$ 1,045.00 (US\$188). The cutoff point for the education variable was 12 years of study for categorizing women who had studied until high school. The pre-gestational body mass index variable was classified as “not overweight” for BMI < 24.9 kg/m² and as “overweight” for BMI > 25.0 kg/m². The gestational weight gain variable was classified as shown in [Table 1](#).

Stool samples were collected and sent to the Inflammation Immunobiology Laboratory (LABIIN) for conservation

by the addition of mercury, iodine, and formaldehyde (MIF). Subsequently, the samples were sent to the Clinical Analysis Laboratory of the same institution for analysis using the Hoffman, Pons, and Janer method for parasite identification.²³

Microsoft Excel 2013 was used for data storage. Data analysis was performed using Stata (Stata Corp V.13, Texas, USA).²⁴ Descriptive analysis included the distribution of frequencies and the absolute numbers of categorical variables. The prevalence of exposure and non-exposure to *T. gondii* associated with the 95% confidence interval were estimated for the included population. To verify the relationship between *T. gondii* exposure and the explanatory variables, univariate logistic regression was used, expressed by the odds ratio adjusted for age. The explanatory variables that presented a p-value < 0.20 in the univariate analysis, were selected for multivariate analysis. The *forward* data entry method was chosen wherein all variables selected in the univariate analysis were inserted simultaneously in the model and were then removed individually based on the probability of a likelihood-ratio statistic based on conditional parameter estimates, starting from the least significant. The final model included all variables that were statistically associated with outcomes, showing a p-value ≤ 0.05. The Hosmer-Lemeshow test was used to analyze or adjust the model.

RESULTS

Of the 131 pregnant women evaluated, 59.54% reported being in their second pregnancy; however, the prevalence of *T. gondii* infection was 45.8% (n = 60) and in this group, multiparas seemed to be more likely to be infected with *T. gondii* (*P* < 0.009). The average age of this group of women was 27.3 ± 6.6 years. The participants' sociodemographic, gestational, clinical, and nutritional data, as well as BMI distribution are shown in [Table 2](#).

Most of the pregnant women reported that they had completed high school education (58.02%), had a job (43.56%), had an income between two and three minimum wages (52.67%), lived in the urban area of the city (60.31%), and had access to basic sanitation such as running water (86.26%), a sewage network (89.31%), and urban waste collection (97.71%) at their residence. Half of the participants reported having contact with domestic animals (50.38%). Among the pregnant women, 25.19% had gardening habits, and of these, 84.85% did not wear gloves. Further, 51.91% of

Table 2. Univariate analysis with the characterization of pregnant women evaluated in 2020, Ouro Preto - MG, Brazil

Variables	N	%	Pregnant women		
			Uninfected (n = 71)	Infected by <i>T. gondii</i> (n=60)	P-value
Socioeconomic variables					
Age					
Adolescents (<18 years)	10	7.63	7	3	0.343***
Adults (>18 years)	121	92.37	64	57	
Education					
< Complete high school	55	41.98	28	27	0.52*
> Complete high school	76	58.02	43	33	
Employment					
Unemployed	1	0.76	0	1	0.532**
Employment bond	61	43.56	29	32	
Student	15	11.45	10	5	0.252**
Housewife	54	41.22	32	22	0.262**
Income					
Up to 1 minimum wage	51	38.93	26	25	
1-2 minimum wage	0	0	0	0	
2-3 minimum wage	69	52.67	38	31	0.657**
>4 minimum wage	11	8.4	7	4	0.519**
Habitation					
City	79	60.31	47	32	0.134*
District	52	39.69	24	28	
Environmental variables					
Access to treated water					
No	18	13.74	8	10	0.371*
Yes	113	86.26	63	50	
Access to sewer services					
No	14	10.69	7	7	0.739*
Yes	117	89.31	64	53	
Contact with pets					
Yes	66	50.38	31	35	
Pet in the house (but without direct contact)	22	16.79	12	10	0.538**
No	43	32.82	28	15	0.407**
Animal waste disposed of in the house					
No	64	48.85	39	25	0.130*
Yes	67	51.15	32	35	
Gestational variables					
Gestational age					
First trimester	37	28.24	23	14	
Second trimester	49	37.40	24	25	0.224**
Third trimester	45	34.35	24	21	0.421**
Pregnancy					
Primiparity	53	40.46	36	17	0.009*

Variables	N	%	Pregnant women		
			Uninfected (n = 71)	Infected by <i>T. gondii</i> (n=60)	P-value
Multiparity	78	59.54	35	43	
Neuro-ocular complications in the firstborn					
Yes	8	6.11	2	6	0.142***
No	123	93.89	69	54	
Pre-gestational BMI					
Not Overweight	55	41.98	34	21	0.136*
Overweight	76	58.02	37	39	
Gestational weight gain					
Eutrophic	43	35.11	24	22	
Low	44	33.59	27	17	0.379**
Excessive	41	31.30	20	21	0.752**
Clinical variables					
Parasitological examination of stools (N=78)					
Negative	67	85.90	40	27	0.056***
Positive	11	14.10	3	8	
Blood pressure					
Normotensive	115	89.84	61	54	
Hypertensive	5	3.91	1	4	0.148**
Hypotensive	8	6.25	8	0	
Dietary variables					
Handwashing before food preparation					
No	7	5.34	6	1	0.124***
Yes	124	94.66	65	59	

Footnote: *Pearson's Chi-square test **Chi-square partition test ***Fisher's exact test. Note: IPE - Individual Protection Equipment

the pregnant women reported that they did not wash their hands after bathroom use.

Regarding clinical conditions, most of the women evaluated were normotensive and did not have kidney disease (95.42%). Parasitological examination of feces showed 14.10% of positivity, in which 72.73% presented the *Entamoeba coli* protozoa. Among the pregnant women who underwent urine culture (n = 128), *Escherichia coli* was present in 36.36% of the samples.

Among those pregnant women in their second pregnancy; 96.95% had no history of eclampsia, 19.08% reported having a prior abortion, 6.11% reported neurological complications in their firstborn child, and 58.02% were "overweight" before pregnancy. Further, 37.40% were in the second trimester of the current pregnancy, and the weight inadequacy was 33.59% of the evaluated sample.

Regarding the volunteers' eating habits (dietary variables), most of the sample consumed pasteurized milk (89.31%), raw vegetables (99.24%), and raw/undercooked meat (67.94%). Overall, 50.38% reported the habit of cleaning/washing fruits and vegetables under running water. When investigating cross-contamination, we observed that

75.57% washed their knives before reusing them. The volunteers reported that they routinely washed their hands before preparing meals (94.66%).

The [Table 3](#) demonstrates the odds ratios for women infected with *T. gondii*. Among all factors, multiparous were 2.6 times more likely to become infected with *T. gondii* than primiparous (OR: 2.60; 95% CI: 1.25-5.39). This result was observed even after adjusting the odds ratio for age.

The variables of access to garbage collection services, gardening habits, use of PPE in gardening, hand washing after using the bathroom, abortion, eclampsia, type of protozoan in the stool, kidney disease, urine culture and presence of bacteria, consumption of unpasteurized milk, consumption of raw vegetables, consumption of raw meat, fruit and vegetable washing process, and cross-contamination during meal preparation did not show any statistically significant differences.

DISCUSSION

This study was carried out in the Ouro Preto microregion, which is one of the most important historic cities in the

Table 3. Multivariate analysis: Risk or protection factors associated with *T. gondii* infection in pregnant women evaluated in 2020 at Ouro Preto, MG, Brazil.

Variables	<i>T. gondii</i> -infected pregnant women variables			
	Gross OR (IC 95%)	<i>p</i>	Adjusted OR (IC 95%)	<i>P-value</i>
Social variables				
Habitation				
City	1	0.704	1	0.685
District	0.78 (0.23-2.70)		0.77 (0.22-2.70)	
Environmental variables				
Animal waste disposed of in the house				
No	1	0.552	1	0.566
Yes	0.72 (0.24-2.12)		0.73 (0.25-2.15)	
Gestational variables				
Pregnancy				
Primiparity	1	0.010	1	0.018
Multiparity	2.60(1.25-5.39)		2.50 (1.17-5.36)	
Children complications				
No	1	0.664	1	0.664
Yes	1.74 (0.14-21.53)		1.74 (0.14-21.53)	
Pre-gestational BMI				
Without overweight	1		1	0.397
With overweight	0.62 (0.20-1.92)	0.408	0.60 (0.18-1.95)	
Clinical variables				
Parasitic examination in stools (N=78)				
Negative	1	0.370	1	0.366
Positive	2.45(0.34-17.38)		2.47(0.35-17.6)	
Blood pressure				
No	1	0.183	1	0.203
Yes	4.51 (0.49-41.67)		4.23 (0.45-39.17)	
Dietary variables				
Handwashing before food preparation				
No	0.74 (0.05-11.25)	0.827	0.75(0.05-11.54)	0.838
Yes	1		1	

Footnote: ORa - age-adjusted odds ratio. Logistic Regression

central region of Minas Gerais state in Brazil. The economic activities revolve around mineral extraction, metallurgical industry, tourism, and agriculture. Despite increasing tourist and financial activities, this city has precarious water treatment with 75.6% of the households having adequate sanitation but lack a water treatment system.

Regarding the factors associated with *T. gondii* infection, our results showed that multiparas presented as an important risk factor as they showed a 2.6 times greater risk of being infected compared to primiparas. In contrast, a recent study conducted in Turkey reported that primipara women had a significantly higher risk ($P < 0.02$) of infection with the parasite compared to multipara women.²⁵ However, Onduru & Aboud, (2021)²⁶ reported that in the Temeke district, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania, the probability of a pregnant woman giving birth to a child with a toxoplasmosis was 28.5 times higher in multiparas than in primiparas. After adjust-

ing for the effect of confusion and association in the multivariate model, the robustness of the multipara variable increased to 40.89 times more likely for pathology in the child. There is great cultural diversity among studies from different countries and Brazil, as the culture seems to show overprotection vis-à-vis the firstborn, and through the observation and personal reports of pregnant women, the subsequent pregnancies seem to be more “peaceful,” with less stress and more experience. Such facts may contribute to less food care and greater exposure to risk factors such as contact with infected soil and untreated water. As biological explanations, we present the following points in our results: greater vulnerability of uninfected multiparas to the parasite probably occurs due to continuous changes in the immunological mechanisms inherent to pregnancy, resulting from a partial immune response suppression (due to the need for tolerance to the fetus) and/or due to hormonal

imbalances characteristic of the gestational condition. Further, unfavorable living conditions of the population in underdeveloped countries with less financial acquisition for larger families can result in a greater chance of infection in women, especially during multiparity, when they are more vulnerable to parasitic infection.

Further, in the study by Berger *et al.* (2009),²⁷ a predisposition to *T. gondii* infection was observed in multigravida French pregnant women from 1995 to 2003, thus consolidating the variable as a risk factor for the signs and symptoms of toxoplasmosis.

Effective screening programs are essential for implementing public health policies. In our study, we showed 45.8% positivity for anti-*T. gondii* IgG, and other studies carried out in different regions of Brazil showed 45% positivity in the central-west region of Minas Gerais, 50.2% positivity in Mato Grosso do Sul, and 59.8% positivity in Porto Alegre.^{28,29}

In contrast, European countries, have demonstrated a tendency towards reduced seroprevalence in pregnant women.³⁰ The relevant risk factors include lack of basic sanitation, inadequate eating and hygiene habits, contact with contaminated animals and soil, territoriality, climatic characteristics,^{7,27,29,31,32} and the presence of more virulent strains of *T. gondii*.³³ The greatest concern during parasitic infection during pregnancy is the damage it can cause to the fetus. Thus, atypical strains of *T. gondii* circulating in Brazil,³⁴ may also be associated with severe cases of ocular toxoplasmosis³⁵ from congenital infection.

High rates of toxoplasmosis seroprevalence are expected in rural areas such as farms, due to the presence of domestic cats and the common presence of intermediate hosts, such as commensal rodents.³⁶ Despite the possibility of the infectious form spreading in these areas, the present study found no interference from the geographical location in the disease spread. The municipality where our study was conducted had surface and underground water collection points and water treatment. For historical and bureaucratic reasons, there was no treatment of water meters, making it impossible to control water consumption in this region.³⁷ Although this study did not present statistical significance in relation to basic sanitation, consumption of untreated water is considered a risk factor for the transmission of oocysts, mainly in developing countries.

One of the determining factors in the epidemiology of pathologies is the socioeconomic level.³⁸ According to Hotez (2008),³⁹ seroprevalence in the upper, middle, and lower socioeconomic strata in Brazil was 23%, 62%, and 84%, respectively. By combining sanitation and socioeconomic status, the prevalence rate in the adult population can reach 90%.⁴⁰ In the present study, more than a third of the pregnant women survived on up to a minimum wage; however, there was no significant difference in the serotype of *T. gondii*.

Consumption of undercooked/roasted meat is common in more than half of the group studied, whereas in the United Kingdom, pregnant women are warned about the consumption of unpasteurized milk and undercooked meat, and risk assessment for *T. gondii* infection is carried out individually during prenatal care.⁴¹ In the study by Chandrasena *et al.* (2016),⁴² with pregnant women in Sri Lanka,

almost all women had the habit of washing their hands after handling raw meat. Although meat consumption is high, the meat is well cooked and prepared with traditional sauces, reducing the risk of parasite infection. In a study conducted in Western Iran, the prevalence of pregnant women infected with *T. gondii* was high among those who had consumed undercooked eggs and meat as well as unwashed vegetables.⁴²

In general, the diverse prevalence (20–90%) of *T. gondii* infection can be understood by distinction between the populations studied, diagnostic methods, and specific risk factors that facilitate disease spread, including nutritional insecurity and/or contact with vector animals for *T. gondii* infection.^{43–45} Finally, this study demonstrated that the high prevalence of *T. gondii* seropositivity in pregnant women may be related to multiparity, which should be considered a risk factor for toxoplasmosis.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on our data, we propose rigorous monitoring of pregnant women during prenatal care, in both primigravida and multigravida women, using screening tests, the provision of guidance to pregnant women on the risk factors for *T. gondii* infection. To target the relevant risk factors, it is essential to develop educational programs in communities together with preventive measures in the public health service that must be maintained, in addition to reinforcing the application of public health policies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

AT (Process # 305634/2017-8) and VFAN (Process # 306036/2019-3) are grateful to the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq) for the research productivity fellowship. PVS, DNMT, BAAM and NSG thank Coordination of Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES) for their research scholarship. The authors also thank to Minas Gerais Research Funding Foundation (FAPEMIG), UFOP, and UFRN for financial and infrastructure support during this research.

ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto under the protocol CAAE: 23467219.7.0000.5150. All women were informed about the research, as well as read and assigned the consent to their participation.

FUNDING

None.

AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTIONS

PVS: conceptualization, resource, writing-original draft preparation, DNMT: data curation, resource, writing-original draft preparation, BAAM: data curation, writing-origi-

nal draft preparation, ZMS: data curation, resource, VFAN: data curation, resource, NSG: conceptualization, resource, writing-original draft preparation, AT: Supervision, conceptualization, writing-reviewing and editing.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that the research was conducted without conflict of interest.

CORRESPONDENCE TO:

André Talvani; talvani@ufop.edu.br

Departamento de Ciências Biológicas/ICEB, UFOP
&
Nathalia Sernizon Guimarães; nasernizon@gmail.com
Escola de Nutrição, ENUT, UFOP
Campus Morro do Cruzeiro, 35400-000 Ouro Preto, MG,
Brazil

Submitted: October 25, 2021 GMT, Accepted: October 26, 2021
GMT



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CCBY-4.0). View this license's legal deed at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0> and legal code at <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode> for more information.

REFERENCES

1. Singh S. Mother-to-child transmission and diagnosis of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection during pregnancy. *Indian J Med Microbiol.* 2003;21(2):69-76. [doi:10.1016/S0255-0857\(21\)03124-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0255-0857(21)03124-8)
2. Montoya JG, Liesenfeld O. Toxoplasmosis. *Lancet.* 2004;363:1965-1976. [doi:10.1016/S0140-6736\(04\)16412-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16412-X)
3. Montoya JG, Remington JS. Studies on the serodiagnosis of toxoplasmic lymphadenitis. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1995;20(4):781-789. [doi:10.1093/clinids/20.4.781](https://doi.org/10.1093/clinids/20.4.781)
4. Bossi P, Bricaire F. Severe acute disseminated toxoplasmosis. *Lancet.* 2004;364:579. [doi:10.1016/S0140-6736\(04\)16841-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(04)16841-4)
5. Ngô HM, Zhou Y, Lorenzi H, et al. Toxoplasma modulates signature pathways of human epilepsy, neurodegeneration & cancer. *Sci Rep.* 2017;13;7(1):11496. [doi:10.1038/s41598-017-10675-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-10675-6)
6. Carme B, Demar M, Ajzenberg D, Dardé ML. Severe acquired toxoplasmosis caused by wild cycle of *Toxoplasma gondii*, French Guiana. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2009;15(4):656-658. [doi:10.3201/eid1504.081306](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1504.081306)
7. Dubey JP, Lago EG, Gennari SM, Su C, Jones JL. Toxoplasmosis in humans and animals in Brazil: high prevalence, high burden of disease, and epidemiology. *Parasitol.* 2012;139(11):1375-1424. [doi:10.1017/S0031182012000765](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182012000765)
8. Afonso E, Germain E, Pouille ML, et al. Environmental determinants of spatial and temporal variations in the transmission of *Toxoplasma gondii* in its definitive hosts. *Int J Parasitol Parasites Wild.* 2013;2(2):278-285. [doi:10.1016/j.ijppaw.2013.09.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2013.09.006)
9. CDC. *Toxoplasmosis*. Disponible; 2018. Accessed January 2021. <https://www.cdc.gov/parasites/toxoplasmosis/epi.html>
10. Pappas G, Roussos N, Falagas ME. Toxoplasmosis snapshots: global status of *Toxoplasma gondii* seroprevalence and implications for pregnancy and congenital toxoplasmosis. *Int J Parasitol.* 2009;39(12):1385-1394. [doi:10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.04.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.04.003)
11. Flegr J, Prandota J, Sovičková M, Israili ZH. Toxoplasmosis – A Global Threat. Correlation of latent toxoplasmosis with specific disease burden in a Set of 88 countries. *PLoS ONE.* 2014;9(3):e90203. [doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090203](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0090203)
12. Baquero-Artigao F, Del Castillo M, Fuentes CI, et al. Guía de la Sociedad Española de Infectología Pediátrica para el diagnóstico y tratamiento de la toxoplasmosis congénita. *Anales de Pediatría.* 2013;79(2):116.e1-116. [doi:10.1016/j.anpedi.2012.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anpedi.2012.12.001)
13. Dunn D, Wallon M, Peyron F, Petersen E, Peckham C, Gilbert R. Mother-to-child transmission of toxoplasmosis: risk estimates for clinical counselling. *Lancet.* 1999;353:1829-1833. [doi:10.1016/S0140-6736\(98\)08220-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(98)08220-8)
14. Hotop A, Hlobil H, Gross U. Efficacy of rapid treatment initiation following primary *Toxoplasma gondii* infection during pregnancy. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2012;54(11):1545-1552. [doi:10.1093/cid/cis234](https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cis234)
15. Prusa AR, Kasper DC, Pollak A, Gleiss A, Waldhoer T, Hayde M. The Austrian Toxoplasmosis Register, 1992-2008. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2015;60(2):e4-e10. [doi:10.1093/cid/ciu724](https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciu724)
16. Campbell OM, Graham WJ. Strategies for reducing maternal mortality: getting on with what works. *Lancet.* 2006;368(9543):1284-1299. [doi:10.1016/S0140-6736\(06\)69381-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(06)69381-1)
17. Logar J, Petrovec M, Novak-Antolic Z, et al. Prevention of congenital toxoplasmosis in Slovenia by serological screening of pregnant women. *Scand J Infect Dis.* 2002;34(3):201-204. [doi:10.1080/00365540110080386](https://doi.org/10.1080/00365540110080386)
18. De Paschale M, Agrappi C, Manco MT, Cerulli T, Clerici P. Implementation of Screening for *Toxoplasma gondii* Infection in Pregnancy. *J Clin Med Res.* 2010;2:112-116. [doi:10.4021/jocmr2010.05.321w](https://doi.org/10.4021/jocmr2010.05.321w)
19. Peyron F, McLeod R, Ajzenberg D, et al. Congenital toxoplasmosis in France and the United States: one parasite, two diverging approaches. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2017;11(2):e0005222. [doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0005222](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005222)
20. Wallon M, Peyron F. Congenital Toxoplasmosis: A Plea for a Neglected Disease. *Pathogens.* 2018;7(1):25. [doi:10.3390/pathogens7010025](https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens7010025)
21. Wilking H, Thamm M, Stark K, Aebischer T, Seeber F. Prevalence, incidence estimations and risk factors of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in Germany: a representative, cross-sectional, serological study. *Scient Reports.* 2016;6(1):22551. [doi:10.1038/srep22551](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep22551)

22. Benitez A do N, Gonçalves DD, Nino B de SL, Caldart ET, Freire RL, Navarro IT. Seroepidemiology of toxoplasmosis in humans and dogs from a small municipality in Parana, Brazil. *Ciência Animal Bras*. 2017;18:1-9. doi:10.1590/1089-6891v18e-42102
23. Carvalho GLX, Moreira LE, Pena JL, Marinho CC, Bahia MT, Machado-Coelho GLL. A comparative study of the TF-Test®, Kato-Katz, Hoffman-Pons-Janer, Willis and Baermann-Moraes coprologic methods for the detection of human parasitosis. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz*. 2012;107(1):80-84. doi:10.1590/s0074-02762012000100011
24. Stata Corp. *Stata Statistical Software: Release 13.0*. StataCorp LP; 2013.
25. Eroglu S, Asgin N. Awareness, knowledge, and risk factors of Toxoplasma gondii infection among pregnant women in the Western Black Sea region of Turkey. *J Obstet Gynecol*. Published online October 12, 2020;1-7. doi:10.1080/01443615.2020.1789954
26. Onduru OG, Aboud S. Prevalence and risk factors for typical signs and symptoms of toxoplasmosis in children born to at risk pregnant women attending prenatal care in Temeke district, Tanzania. *Scient African*. 2021;11:e00690. doi:10.1016/j.sciaf.2020.e00690
27. Berger F, Goulet V, Le Strat Y, Desenclos JC. Toxoplasmosis among pregnant women in France: risk factors and change of prevalence between 1995 and 2003. *Rev Epidemiol Sante Publ*. 2009;57(4):241-248. doi:10.1016/j.respe.2009.03.006
28. Nascimento TL, Pacheco CM, Sousa FF de. Prevalência de Toxoplasma gondii em gestantes atendidas pelo Sistema Único de Saúde. *Ciência & Saúde*. 2017;10(2):96-101. doi:10.15448/1983-652x.2017.2.23297
29. Varella IS, Wagner MB, Darela AC, Nunes LM, Müller RW. Prevalence of seropositivity for toxoplasmosis in pregnant women. *J Pediatr*. 2003;79(1):69-74. doi:10.1590/s0021-75572003000100012
30. Schmidt DR, Hogh B, Andersen O, Fuchs J, Fledelius H, Petersen E. The national neonatal screening programme for congenital toxoplasmosis in Denmark: results from the initial four years, 1999-2002. *Arch Dis Child*. 2006;14(8):661-665. doi:10.1136/adc.2004.066514
31. Avelino MM, Campos Junior D, Parada JCB, Castro AM. Pregnancy as a risk factor for acute toxoplasmosis seroconversion. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2003;14(1):19-24. doi:10.1016/s0301-2115(02)00353-6
32. Avelino MM, Campos Júnior D, Parada JB, Castro AM. Risk factors for Toxoplasma gondii infection in women of childbearing age. *BJID*. 2004;14(2):164-174. doi:10.1590/s1413-86702004000200007
33. Gilbert RE, Freeman K, Lago EG, et al. Ocular sequelae of congenital toxoplasmosis in Brazil compared with Europe. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2008;14:277. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000277
34. Avelino MM, Amaral WN, Rodrigues IM, et al. Congenital toxoplasmosis and prenatal care state programs. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;14:33. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-14-33
35. Clementino Andrade MM, Pinheiro BV, Cunha MM, Carneiro ACAV, Andrade Neto VF, Vitor RWA. New genotypes of Toxoplasma gondii obtained from farm animals in Northeast Brazil. *Res Vet Sci*. 2013;94(3):587-589. doi:10.1016/j.rvsc.2013.01.006
36. Mendes NH, Oliveira CB, Garcia CA, Holanda CM, Andrade-Neto VF. Epidemiological and serological profiles of ocular toxoplasmosis in the municipality of Natal, northeastern Brazil. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 2014;108(10):656-661. doi:10.1093/trstmh/tru113
37. Turner PB, Bateson DC. *The Domestic Cat. The Biology of its Behaviour* Cambridge University Press; 2000.
38. Bahia-Oliveira LMG, Jones JL, Azevedo-Silva J, Alves CC, Oréfice F, Addiss DG. Highly Endemic, Waterborne Toxoplasmosis in North Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2003;9(1):55-62. doi:10.3201/eid0901.020160
39. Al-Malki ES. Toxoplasmosis: stages of the protozoan life cycle and risk assessment in humans and animals for an enhanced awareness and an improved socio-economic status. *Saudi J Biol Sci*. 2021;28(1):962-969. doi:10.1016/j.sjbs.2020.11.007
40. Hotez PJ. Neglected Infections of Poverty in the United States of America. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2008;2(6):e256. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0000256
41. Flatt A, Shety N. Seroprevalence and risk factors for toxoplasmosis among antenatal women in London: a re-examination of risk factors in an ethnically diverse population. *Eur J Public Health*. 2012;23(4):648-652. doi:10.1093/eurpub/cks075
42. Chandrasena N, Herath R, Rupasinghe N, et al. Toxoplasmosis awareness, seroprevalence and risk behavior among pregnant women in the Gampaha district, Sri Lanka. *Pathog Glob Health*. 2016;110(2):62-67. doi:10.1080/20477724.2016.1173325

43. Ahmadpour GR, Ezatpour B, Hadighi R, et al. Seroepidemiology of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection in pregnant women in west Iran: determined by ELISA and PCR analysis. *J Parasit Dis*. 2017;41(1):237-242. [doi:10.1007/s12639-016-0784-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12639-016-0784-3)
44. Bóia MN, Carvalho-Costa FA, Sodré FC, Pinto GMT, Amendoeira MRR. Seroprevalence of *Toxoplasma gondii* infection among Indian people living in Iauareté, São Gabriel da Cachoeira, Amazonas, Brazil. *Rev Inst Med Trop São Paulo*. 2008;50(1):17-20. [doi:10.1590/s0036-46652008000100004](https://doi.org/10.1590/s0036-46652008000100004)
45. Amendoeira MRR, Coura LFC. A brief review of toxoplasmosis in pregnancy. *Sci Med*. 2010;20(1):113-119.